

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/819,252	03/27/2001	Scott A, Waldman	08321-0164US	2091
23973	7590 01/02/2004		EXAM	INER
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH			YU, MISOOK	
	ONE LOGAN SQUARE 18TH AND CHERRY STREETS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	HIA, PA 19103-6996		1642	

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/819,252	WALDMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep / within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 O</u>	<u>ctober 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 25,27,29,31 and 34-37 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25,27,29,31 and 34-37</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arriller. Note the attached	Since Action of form PTO-152.			
	priority under 25 U.S.C. S	110(a) (d) ar (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.					
Attachment(s)	Λ.\ \ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	(DTO 440) D No. () 0000 (000			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>20031222</u> . ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/819,252

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 09, 2003 has been entered.

Claims 25, 27, 29, 31, 34-37 are pending and examined on merits.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

Priority

Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows: the specification at page 1 under Cross References t Related Patent Applications states that the instant application is related to several earlier patent applications but fails to specify how they are related.

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification of in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number

This application appears to be claiming the benefit of a prior filed nonprovisional application under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) by saying "This application is also related..." note page 1 of the specification. Copendency between the current application and the prior application is required to the benefit. However, the instant application was be filed after several of the related applications had be issued.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 29 and 31 remain rejected and claims 36 and 37 are also for reason of record under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims are interpreted as drawn to a method of diagnosing esophageal cancer in an individual suspected of having esophageal cancer by detecting presence of CDX2 transcript. This interpretation is based on the prosecution history, especially Office Action mailed 8-19-2002 and applicant's Amendment dated 8-19-2002. This rejection is maintained because neither the instant specification nor the current state of art provides any evidence that the assertion at page 11 lines 13-18 which says that CDX2 is expressed in esophageal cancer cells but not in normal esophageal cells. As applicant noted in the Amendment Paper No. 12, dated 8-19-2002 at page 5 line 2 from the bottom, CDX2 expression as cancer biomarker is unpredictable. In order to use the instant invention, undue experimentation involving a large number of clinical samples is required to assess if CDX2 could also be used as a biomarker for esophageal cancer. It is well known in the art that prior to the successful application of newly described markers, research must validate the markers against acknowledged disease end points

Application/Control Number: 09/819,252

Art Unit: 1642

using histology and/or cytology, establish quantitative criteria for marker presence/absence, and confirm marker predictive value in prospective population trials.

Double Patenting

Applicant is advised that should claims 25 and 27 be found allowable, claims 34 and 35 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Claim sets (25 and 27) and (34 and 35) both appear to obtain tissue samples from a stomach from patients who already are diagnosed with stomach cancer because the preamble of claim 25 says "diagnosing an individual who has stomach cancer" vs. "confirming the diagnosis of stomach cancer in a individual who has been diagnosed as having stomach cancer" in claim 34.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MISOOK YU, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony C Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Misook Yu December 27, 2003

